

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/128,304 08/03/98 WILLIS Ν CRDP-2700 **EXAMINER** Г QM12/0802 KATHLEEN A FROST MANTIS MERCADER, E. KIMBACH AND KIMBACH **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2001 FERRY BUILDING SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 3737 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/02/00

Application No. 09/128,304

Applicant(s)

Willis et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Eleni Mantis Mercader

Group Art Unit 3737



This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, print in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.A. Shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	month(s), or thirty days, whichever
in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.D. Shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	month(s), or thirty days, whichever
shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3	month(s), or thirty days, whichever
s longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be 37 CFR 1.136(a).	the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-58	
Of the above, claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1-58	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are subject to	to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exam	miner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐appr	roved 🗔 disapproved.
$oxed{X}$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority docu	uments have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bure	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	J. 3 (13(e).
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
X Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 1 and 2	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING F	PAGES

DETAILED ACTION

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Specification

1

- 1. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to 08/905,090 and 08/732,511 is improper because the incorporation of essential material by reference to another application is improper.
- 2. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

Application/Control Number: 09/128,304 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Halmann et al. '856 or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Halmann et al. '856.

Halmann et al.'856 teach a method of generating a three dimensional graphical model of a region located within a living body, comprising the steps of:

- (a) generating a three dimensional model of a region of interest (col. 3, lines 29-35);
- (b) determining the three-dimensional location of a physical characteristic in the region of interest using at least one probe positioned within the living body (col. 3, lines 36-38) wherein the coronary artery pattern is the physical characteristic determined and inherently one probe positioned within the living body is used or in the alternative it would be well within the

Application/Control Number: 09/128,304 Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

derive an angiogram;

knowledge of skilled artisans at the time that the invention was made to have used a probe to

(c) deforming the model to at least approximately incorporate the physical characteristic at the determined three dimensional location (col. 3, lines 39-43; and col. 3, lines 1-7; wherein the heart model is deformed in order to superimpose the coronary artery on the heart model); and

(d) displaying the model on a graphical display (col. 3, lines 44-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ben-Haim'946 in view of Halmann et al.'856.

Ben-Haim'946 teaches a method and an apparatus for determining the relative three-dimensional location of a medical device such as a probe positioned in the region of interest in the living body and graphically representing the medical device on the 3-D image area of interest such as the heart (col. 3, lines 64-67 and col. 4, lines 1-8; and col. 11, lines 66-67 and col. 10, lines 1-10). Ben-Haim'946 also teach the use of a medical device which has one mapping/ablation electrode detecting electrical activity and wherein the activity is superimposed on the image of

Application/Control Number: 09/128,304

Art Unit: 3737

interest and also having reference catheters which can be tracked using a locating method using acoustic waves and wherein the location of the reference catheters is used to align the location of the heart chamber with respect to all the catheters (col. 5, lines 23-30, col. 9, lines 34-35 and 45-51, col. 9, lines 66-67 and 1-10, col. 10, lines 11-50, and col. 11, lines 14-28).

Page 5

Even though Ben-Haim'946 teaches superposition of the activation map and catheter locations on a 3-D image, Ben-Haim'946 does not teach the superposition of these features on a model of the organ of interest such as the heart.

Halmann et al. '856 teach the use of a graphical model of an organ of interest such as the heart and deforming the model for superposition on the graphical model of anatomical features of interest and furthermore comparing the heart model with other pathological state models to determine the presence of dysfunction (col. 3, lines 1-65).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the model of Halmann et al.'856 in the invention of Ben-Haim'946 as an alternative functional equivalent of a 3D-representation of the heart in order to display the mapping/ablation procedure as taught by Ben-Haim'946.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicants are invited to comment on the relevancy of the following patents:

Vesely'673 teach a system for carrying out surgery, biopsy and ablation of a tumor or other physical anomaly.

Application/Control Number: 09/128,304

Art Unit: 3737

Winslow et al. '899 teach the use of a computational system and method for modeling the

Page 6

heart.

Budd et al.'108 teach the use of an electrophysiology mapping system.

9. Please note that Examiner has not received the non-patent literature as indicated in the

IDS statement. Please supply a copy of those references with your next correspondence so that

those documents can be considered.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Eleni Mantis Mercader whose telephone number is (703) 308-0899. The

examiner's supervisor, Mr. Marvin Lateef, can be reached on (703) 308-3256.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. The fax phone

number for this group is (703) 308-0758.

Marvin M. Lateef

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

July 22, 2000.